SOLICITATION #: 36C77624R0131

PROJECT NAME: VISN 8 Construction MATOC - Mainland

TECHNICAL QUESTIONS AND VA RESPONSE TRACKING SHEET

ITEM#	MATOC	SEED PROJECT	QUESTION	GOVERNMENT RESPONSE
			The narrative starting on page 44 states VA Baldwin – Renovate Lake	Disregard the page header references to Lake Baldwin on the Mechanical
			Baldwin Canteen Mechanical and Plumbing Narrative on the header.	and Plumbing narrative starting page 44 The page headers for the
		Х	A lot of the information in the narrative of those portions is not	Mechanical and Plumbing narrative, starting page 44 should read- Address
			included on the drawings. Can you confirm this information is indeed	Site Deficiencies – Viera VA Clinic.
1			applicable to this project?	
			It appears the documents contain conflicting information: the	Lenel.
		Х	drawings reference Lenel for access control, while the pictures in the	
		^	other document show Hirsch hardware. We need clarification on	
2			which system we should plan to tie into	
		V	FCU-1 Existing control valve has a GPM of 8.55 and new coil is	Per keynote 2, coil and entire "HYDRONIC COIL KIT" is replaced. See detail
3		Х	scheduled at 53 GPM. The existing control valve is not designed for 53	3 on M-001 as well. Yes, the intent was/is to replace valve.
4		V	Existing coil module is too small for an 8 row coil as scheduled in the construction documents. This will cause moisture carry over into the fan section. Recommend replacing coil module which will increase the length of the unit. The face & bypass section and filter section will also need to be replaced also since the existing shipping split is between the fan module and coil module. Please confirm this is acceptable.	Yes, avoid carry-over and replace the necessary components.
5		X	When reviewing the documents attached in Sam.Gov current record dated 8/21/2025 shows: It is my understanding that Attachment 1E Chiller Plant - Drawings PDF dated 12/20/2019 - are for reference only as per RFI dated 8/21/2025 question 152 and 153?	Chiller plant drawing as for RFI reference, as applicable.

			1	No. Please re-review the solicitation. Specifically Sections 2.9 through 2.12. Additionally, this procurement is not being conducted in accordance
		Х		with FAR 14, therefore there will be no bids. This is a FAR 15 trade-off.
			Attachment+06a+-+100+Percent+Drawings	
			Attachment+06a+-+100+Percent+Narrative	
6			Attachment+06a+-+100+Percent+Specifications	
	Х		Will the CO considered a second site visit, due to extensive amount of	No another site visit will not be held for this project.
7			Q&A questions.	
			Many of the 448 questions and answers submitted, HAVE A DIRECT	COR/AE to determine - Contracting is a No. COR is No.
			IMPACT to the seed project plans and specs. In addition they have to	
		Х	be classify into their respective CSI discipline to allow for accurate	
			cost estimation. Will the VA Solicitation team consider extending the	
8			Proposal Due date to November 19, 2025?	